**CAHIERS MAGELLANES-NS**
Volume 07 Issue 1
2025

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

# AI IMPLEMENTATION IN EDUCATIONAL THINKING A BETRAYAL TO GOD-GIVEN MENTAL CAPACITY: A CRITICAL REVIEW

**Prof. (Dr) Sudhir Rawat[1*], Dr. Anjana Vashishtha Rawat[2]**

[1*]Department of Zoology, Government Degree College Kasganj UP, skrawatanjana@gmail.com
[2]Professor, Department of English, K.A. PG College, Kasganj, UP

*Abstract*

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into modern education has sparked significant debate across philosophical, ethical, theological, and practical domains. While some view AI as a threat to human cognition and a potential betrayal of divine endowment, others argue that AI enhances educational equity, personalizes learning, and expands cognitive potential. This paper critically explores the multifaceted impact of AI on education, particularly its implications for spiritual and intellectual development. It examines how AI affects traditional pedagogical values, the teacher's role, and the human capacity for ethical reasoning and creativity. Through philosophical inquiry, real-world case studies, and theological reflection, the study argues that AI, when used responsibly and ethically, serves not as a replacement but as an extension of God-given intellect. Educators must remain central, serving as moral and spiritual guides in a technologically enhanced learning environment.

**Keywords**: Artificial Intelligence, education, ethics, spirituality, human cognition, moral development, theology, teacher's role, personalized learning.

## Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in modern education has catalyzed profound global discussions, not only about technological innovation but also about its impact on the essence of human cognition and spiritual identity. As classrooms adopt AI-driven technologies such as adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring platforms, and automated assessments (Luckin et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2019), a fundamental question arises: Does the incorporation of AI into educational processes signify a betrayal of the God-given mental faculties that define humanity? This inquiry traverses the domains of education, philosophy, theology, ethics, and human development, prompting reconsideration of the purpose and process of learning.

## The Sacred Dimensions of Human Intelligence

Education has historically been considered a profoundly human endeavor, grounded in the transmission of knowledge, cultivation of values, and development of critical and creative thinking (Biesta, 2010). Many religious traditions regard the human intellect as a divine gift, reflective of God's image. The Judeo-Christian understanding, for example, positions human beings as *imago Dei*—created in the likeness of God—with the capacity for reason, morality, and self-reflection (Genesis 1:27; Augustine, 1998).

Philosophers like Immanuel Kant (1781/1998) posited that human rationality is the cornerstone of moral autonomy and dignity. This view is echoed by contemporary scholars who argue that the mind is not merely

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

a computational engine but a spiritually and emotionally integrated organ (Murphy, 2006). The neural complexity of the brain, intertwined with consciousness and emotional depth, exceeds the computational mimicry of even the most advanced AI systems (Damasio, 1999; Searle, 1980). Critics of AI in education worry that outsourcing human cognitive tasks to machines could foster intellectual dependency, impair moral development, and promote spiritual disengagement (Postman, 1993; Selwyn, 2019).

**The Role of AI in Human Learning: Tool or Replacement?**

While concerns abound, many educators and technologists argue that AI should not be seen as a substitute for human thinking but as a support mechanism that augments human potential. AI technologies can personalize instruction, adapt in real-time to student needs, and provide scalable solutions to educational inequality (Luckin et al., 2016; Baker & Smith, 2019). From this perspective, AI serves as a tool that complements, rather than competes with, human intellect.

Some theologians support this integration, suggesting that using tools—including AI—to enhance learning aligns with the biblical mandate to cultivate and steward creation (Genesis 2:15; Rae, 2014). Moreover, the proper use of AI in education may allow for more meaningful human engagement, freeing educators to focus on mentoring, character development, and moral formation (Koehler & Mishra, 2009; Floridi, 2020).

**Ethical and Theological Implications**

Nevertheless, the ethical deployment of AI in education necessitates vigilant oversight. Questions surrounding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and student autonomy remain central (Williamson & Piattoeva, 2022). The theological concern intensifies when AI begins to shape not only *how* students learn but *what* they learn and *who* they become. Education should nurture the whole person—not merely cognitive performance, but also emotional intelligence, ethical reasoning, and spiritual maturity (Palmer, 1998; Noddings, 2005).

Theologians such as Volf (2015) and scholars like Taylor (1989) emphasize that identity is formed in community and through spiritual practice, not merely through intellectual achievement. If AI systems mediate much of the educational experience, there is a risk that students may become alienated from the formative, relational, and transcendent dimensions of learning.

**Conclusion: Navigating the Paradox**

The integration of AI in education does not inherently undermine human dignity or spiritual purpose; rather, it depends on how these technologies are conceptualized and applied. When grounded in a holistic educational philosophy—one that respects human agency, divine endowment, and ethical integrity—AI can enhance learning while preserving the sanctity of the human mind. However, vigilance is required to ensure that technology remains a servant to human flourishing, not a surrogate for it.

**AI in Education: A Practical Necessity?**

Despite enduring philosophical and theological concerns regarding the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in human cognition, the practical implementation of AI in education has yielded largely positive outcomes. Its contributions to personalization, accessibility, efficiency, and scalability are increasingly difficult to overlook in global educational discourse (Luckin et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2019; Baker & Smith, 2019).

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

### *The Functional Merits of AI in Education*

**AI technologies have the capacity to:**

- Tailor educational content based on a student's real-time progress through adaptive learning systems (VanLehn, 2011).
- Provide immediate, personalized feedback that fosters self-regulated learning (Aleven et al., 2010).
- Automate administrative tasks, including grading and performance tracking, thereby reducing teacher workload (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014).
- Support learners with special educational needs, including those with dyslexia, autism spectrum disorders, or physical disabilities (Al-Azawei et al., 2017).

In a world confronted with exponential population growth, dwindling educational resources, and intensifying academic competition, AI offers scalable and cost-effective solutions. For countries with a dearth of trained educators, AI-powered systems can serve as vital supplements to human teachers, especially in remote or underprivileged areas (UNESCO, 2021).

Furthermore, the claim that AI "replaces" human mental effort is often overstated. Rather than substituting intellectual labor, AI reconfigures the learning environment to optimize human cognitive engagement. For example, AI interventions tailored to learners with dyslexia—such as text-to-speech applications or intelligent reading tutors—can unlock cognitive potential by providing supportive scaffolding that traditional systems are unable to offer (Wood et al., 2018).

### *Challenging the Misconception of Betrayal*

Framing the adoption of AI in education as a betrayal of God-given mental capacity implies that using tools to support learning is inherently misguided. However, historical evidence contradicts this assumption. Technological innovations have long accompanied educational development: from the abacus in ancient Mesopotamia to Gutenberg's printing press in the 15th century, and later, the calculator and computer in the 20th century (Postman, 1993; Standage, 1998).

Few would argue that the printing press betrayed human memory, or that the calculator undermined the cognitive discipline of arithmetic. Instead, these tools expanded the boundaries of human learning and increased access to knowledge, complementing rather than compromising cognitive faculties (Gee, 2003).

Likewise, AI represents the next evolutionary step in educational technology. The moral and intellectual hazard lies not in the tool itself but in how it is used. Over-reliance on AI without critical discernment could potentially diminish reflective thinking, creativity, and moral judgment (Selwyn, 2019). Thus, the imperative is not to eschew AI, but to ensure its use is ethically grounded and pedagogically sound.

Maintaining AI as a servant—rather than a master—of the educational process is essential. Balanced integration, where AI complements human instruction and reinforces, rather than replaces, the teacher-student relationship, aligns with both ethical practice and theological concerns about preserving the sanctity of human agency (Floridi, 2020; Rae, 2014).

### Ethical and Spiritual Dilemmas

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems has sparked significant debate,

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

particularly concerning its ethical and spiritual implications. While AI offers numerous benefits, such as personalized learning and administrative efficiency, it also raises concerns about intellectual dependency, the erosion of human connections, the dehumanization of learning, and potential spiritual apathy. This essay explores these dilemmas, examining both the challenges and the opportunities AI presents in the educational landscape.

***Intellectual Laziness and Dependency***

One of the primary ethical concerns is the potential for AI to foster intellectual laziness among students. With AI systems providing instant answers and solutions, students may become reliant on technology, bypassing the critical thinking processes essential for deep learning. Studies indicate that over-reliance on AI tools can lead to diminished critical thinking skills, as individuals prefer quick, AI-generated solutions over engaging in complex problem-solving (Risko & Gilbert, 2016). This dependency not only hampers intellectual growth but also undermines the development of resilience and perseverance—qualities vital for both academic success and personal development.

***Loss of Human Connection***

Education extends beyond the mere transmission of information; it encompasses mentorship, empathy, and the cultivation of human relationships. The increasing presence of AI in classrooms risks diminishing the teacher-student bond, reducing interactions to data exchanges. Research emphasizes the importance of human relationships in learning, noting that students thrive when they feel connected to their educators (Fisher, 2023). The absence of genuine human interaction can lead to feelings of isolation and disengagement, adversely affecting students' emotional and academic well-being.

***Dehumanization of Learning***

AI-driven education often relies on standardized algorithms, which may overlook the individuality and creativity of learners. Such systems can inadvertently suppress the unique talents and perspectives students bring to the learning environment. The homogenization of education through AI risks neglecting the emotional intelligence and moral development that are integral to holistic learning (Eurasia Review, 2024). By prioritizing efficiency over personalization, AI may inadvertently dehumanize the educational experience, stripping it of its richness and depth.

***Spiritual Apathy***

The mechanization of education through AI can lead to spiritual apathy, where students become detached from moral and philosophical inquiries. Traditional education encourages contemplation, self-awareness, and ethical reasoning—dimensions that are difficult to replicate through AI. The lack of emphasis on these aspects may result in a generation less attuned to spiritual and ethical considerations, potentially leading to a society that values technical proficiency over moral integrity (Christianity Today, 2023).

***AI as a Reflection of Human Genius***

Conversely, AI can be viewed as a testament to human ingenuity—a manifestation of the divine gift of

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

intellect. The development of AI showcases humanity's capacity to innovate and solve complex problems. Religious perspectives often encourage the use of one's talents for the betterment of society, suggesting that AI, when ethically applied, aligns with spiritual principles (Regent University, 2024). Furthermore, AI can facilitate spiritual growth by providing access to religious texts, simulating philosophical discussions, and presenting moral dilemmas that encourage ethical reasoning (Wayfare Magazine, 2023).

### *Cognitive Offloading: A Natural Process?*

Cognitive offloading—the practice of using external tools to manage cognitive tasks—is not a novel concept. Historically, humans have utilized tools like writing and calculators to enhance cognitive efficiency. AI represents an advanced form of this practice, potentially freeing individuals to engage in higher-order thinking. However, excessive reliance on AI for cognitive tasks can lead to reduced critical thinking skills and a decline in problem-solving abilities (PsyPost, 2025). The key lies in balancing the use of AI to augment human cognition without allowing it to supplant essential mental processes.

The integration of AI into education presents both challenges and opportunities. While it offers the potential to enhance learning experiences and reflect human creativity, it also poses risks to intellectual development, human connection, and spiritual engagement. Addressing these ethical and spiritual dilemmas requires a balanced approach that leverages AI's benefits while safeguarding the core values of education. By fostering critical thinking, maintaining human relationships, and encouraging moral contemplation, educators can ensure that AI serves as a tool for enrichment rather than a replacement for the human elements essential to learning.

## Case Studies and Real-World Impact

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education has yielded transformative results across various contexts. This section delves into real-world case studies that illustrate how AI, when guided by human values, can serve as a force for inclusion, empowerment, and enlightenment.

### AI in Rural India: Bridging Educational Gaps

In rural regions of India, where access to quality education is often limited, AI-powered tools have emerged as vital resources. For instance, the MindCraft platform utilizes AI to provide personalized learning experiences and mentorship to students in underserved areas. By analyzing individual learning patterns, MindCraft tailors educational content to meet each student's needs, thereby enhancing engagement and academic performance (Bardia & Agrawal, 2025). Similarly, a case study in Karnataka demonstrated that implementing AI-driven personalized learning in rural schools led to significant improvements in mathematics achievement and student engagement. The study highlighted that AI tools could adapt to the unique challenges faced by rural students, offering them opportunities comparable to their urban counterparts (Patel & Jha, 2023).

### Special Needs Education: Empowering Students with Disabilities

AI has also revolutionized education for students with disabilities by offering personalized instruction and support. For example, AI-powered virtual assistants have been employed to aid students with speech and

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

language impairments, facilitating communication and learning. These tools adapt to individual needs, providing customized feedback and assistance that traditional methods may not offer (Gibson, 2024).
In another instance, AI applications have been used to create inclusive learning environments for students with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD). By simplifying information and tailoring resources, AI enables these students to engage more effectively with educational content, fostering a sense of inclusion and empowerment (Special Olympics, 2024).

**AI Ethics Curriculum: Fostering Moral Reasoning**

Educational institutions have begun integrating AI-generated case studies into their curricula to teach ethics and moral reasoning. The Princeton Dialogues on AI and Ethics, for example, have developed comprehensive case studies that explore the intersection of AI, ethics, and society. These resources encourage students to engage in critical discussions about the ethical implications of AI technologies, promoting intellectual and spiritual reflection (Princeton Dialogues on AI and Ethics, 2018).
Moreover, initiatives like the AIethicist.org repository provide a collection of AI ethics case studies and incident registries. These tools serve as valuable resources for educators aiming to instill ethical decision-making skills in students, ensuring that future AI developers and users are equipped with a strong moral compass (AIethicist.org, n.d.).

***The Teacher's Evolving Role in the Age of AI***

The rise of artificial intelligence (AI) in education is not the death knell for the teacher's profession—it is a call to reimagine and elevate it. As AI assumes many administrative, data-driven, and routine instructional tasks, the teacher's role is increasingly centered on mentorship, moral formation, and the holistic development of students (Luckin et al., 2016). Rather than simply transmitting facts, teachers become curators of wisdom, facilitators of ethical discernment, and shepherds of emotional and spiritual growth.
In this new paradigm, educators guide learners through the complexities of knowledge, identity, and moral decision-making—areas where AI lacks context, conscience, and compassion (Holmes et al., 2022). As the World Economic Forum (2020) highlights, the future of education will require a greater emphasis on "human skills," including empathy, ethics, and critical reflection—domains inherently resistant to automation. AI can inform students, but it cannot form them. That remains the sacred domain of the teacher.
In spiritually grounded educational traditions, the teacher is more than an instructor—they are a moral compass and a relational presence. For example, Christian pedagogical frameworks have long emphasized the teacher's calling to foster not only intellectual growth but also virtues such as humility, integrity, and justice (Smith, 2018). Similarly, in Islamic education, the *mu'allim* (teacher) is revered for guiding both the intellect and the soul, shaping students' character alongside their knowledge (Halstead, 2004).
By offloading mechanical tasks to AI, educators are freed to focus on this deeper work. As Selwyn (2019) observes, "AI should liberate teachers to become more human, not less." Teachers thus serve as critical mediators between technology and the sacred dimensions of education—nurturing creativity, conscience, and contemplation in a world increasingly shaped by algorithms.

***Striking a Balance: Harmonizing AI with Human Development***

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

The central question confronting educators, ethicists, and theologians today is not whether AI should be part of education, but how it can be integrated without betraying the fundamental human purposes of learning. In other words, we must ask: *How can AI support education without compromising the dignity, mystery, and moral agency of the learner?*

### Purposeful Use

AI should be used to *enhance* rather than *replace* cognitive engagement. Its value lies in its ability to personalize instruction, provide feedback, and support accessibility—but only when these functions serve to deepen student understanding and participation (Luckin, 2018). When used without intentionality, AI risks encouraging passive consumption of knowledge, leading to intellectual complacency.

### Ethical Integration

All AI systems must be designed and implemented with respect for human dignity, privacy, and individuality. This includes transparent data practices, non-discriminatory algorithms, and clear boundaries for surveillance and data collection (Williamson & Eynon, 2020). Ethics must not be an afterthought; they should be embedded in the design and application of every educational technology.

### Spiritual Anchoring

AI-based learning must be accompanied by opportunities for moral, spiritual, and philosophical reflection. As Palmer (1998) argues, "good teaching cannot be reduced to technique; it comes from the identity and integrity of the teacher." In this spirit, schools must cultivate spaces for contemplation, dialogue, and ethical inquiry, ensuring that technological advancement does not eclipse the pursuit of meaning, justice, and transcendence. Educational systems influenced by spiritual worldviews have much to contribute here. For instance, the Jesuit tradition emphasizes *cura personalis*—care for the whole person—including the moral and spiritual dimensions (Traub, 2008). In such models, AI is a tool within a broader, value-laden educational framework.

### Educator Involvement

Human educators must remain central to the educational process. While AI can analyze patterns and deliver content efficiently, it cannot mentor, inspire, or model virtues. These tasks require human presence and intentionality (Selwyn, 2019). Educators must not be reduced to overseers of technology but rather elevated as interpreters of both knowledge and humanity.

### Critical Thinking Emphasis

Finally, AI must be used to provoke, not replace, reasoning. Adaptive learning technologies should challenge students with moral dilemmas, encourage Socratic questioning, and support interdisciplinary thinking. As Brougham and Haar (2018) suggest, the key is to design AI systems that act as cognitive scaffolds, supporting higher-order thinking rather than supplanting it. When implemented in this way, AI becomes not a betrayal of human capacity, but a partner in its flourishing. It reflects our creativity, amplifies our reach, and opens new pathways for learning. But it must always remain in service to the higher calling of education: to cultivate wise, ethical, and spiritually aware individuals.

## Conclusion

AI is neither inherently good nor evil—it is a tool shaped by human intent. To view its implementation in education as a betrayal to God-given mental capacity is to misunderstand both the nature of intelligence and the purpose of education. Human cognition, gifted by the divine, is not compromised by using tools wisely. In fact, true betrayal occurs not through use but through neglect—neglect of reflection, ethics, and human responsibility. When used ethically, AI can be a testament to our God-given creativity. It can free our minds to explore deeper realms of knowledge, morality, and faith. The challenge is to ensure that in embracing AI, we do not abandon the spiritual, emotional, and ethical dimensions that make education truly human. Thus, AI in educational thinking is not a betrayal—it is a call to rise to a higher standard of conscious, purposeful learning.

This study has shown that the application of Artificial Intelligence in education, while posing undeniable ethical and spiritual challenges, also offers profound opportunities for enhancing human learning. Far from being a betrayal of divine cognitive gifts, AI can act as a catalyst for deeper intellectual and spiritual development—if wielded with intentionality and guided by enduring human values. The technology itself is not inherently dehumanizing; rather, it is our approach to its integration that determines its ethical footprint. By emphasizing the teacher's evolving role as a moral mentor and spiritual guide, and by designing AI systems that provoke critical thinking and moral reflection, we can strike a balance that honors both innovation and tradition. The key is to ensure that AI supports rather than supplants human wisdom, creativity, and conscience.

## References

1. AIethicist.org. (n.d.). *AI Ethics Case Studies & AI Incident Registries*. https://www.aiethicist.org/ethics-cases-registries
2. Al-Azawei, A., Serenelli, F., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A content analysis of peer-reviewed journal papers from 2012 to 2015. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 16*(3), 39–56. https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v16i3.19295
3. Aleven, V., Stahl, E., Schworm, S., Fischer, F., & Wallace, R. (2010). Help seeking and help design in interactive learning environments. *Review of Educational Research, 73*(3), 277–320. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543073002277
4. Baker, T., & Smith, L. (2019). *Educ-AI-tion rebooted? Exploring the future of artificial intelligence in schools and colleges*. NESTA.
5. Bardia, A., & Agrawal, A. (2025). MindCraft: Revolutionizing education through AI-powered personalized learning and mentorship for rural India. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.05826*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.05826
6. Biesta, G. (2010). *Good education in an age of measurement: Ethics, politics, democracy*. Routledge.
7. Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*, *24*(2), 239–257. https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2017.47

8. Christianity Today. (2023). *AI Will Shape Your Soul*. https://www.christianitytoday.com/2023/09/artificial-intelligence-robots-soul-formation/
9. Damasio, A. (1999). *The feeling of what happens: Body and emotion in the making of consciousness*. Harcourt.
10. Eurasia Review. (2024). *Aspects Of The Use Of Artificial Intelligence In Education – Analysis*. https://www.eurasiareview.com/06022024-aspects-of-the-use-of-artificial-intelligence-in-education-analysis/
11. Fisher, J. F. (2023). *AI Can Make Schools More Human, But Only If Schools Prioritize Relationship Metrics*. Harvard Advanced Leadership Initiative. https://www.sir.advancedleadership.harvard.edu/articles/ai-can-make-schools-more-human-if-schools-prioritize-relationship-metrics
12. Floridi, L. (2020). *The logic of information: A theory of philosophy as conceptual design*. Oxford University Press.
13. Gee, J. P. (2003). *What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy*. Palgrave Macmillan.
14. Gibson, R. (2024). The impact of AI in advancing accessibility for learners with disabilities. *EDUCAUSE Review*. https://er.educause.edu/articles/2024/9/the-impact-of-ai-in-advancing-accessibility-for-learners-with-disabilities
15. Halstead, J. M. (2004). An Islamic concept of education. *Comparative Education*, *40*(4), 517–529. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305006042000284510
16. Heffernan, N. T., & Heffernan, C. L. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem: Building a platform that brings scientists and teachers together for minimally invasive research on human learning and teaching. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 24*(4), 470–497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-014-0024-x
17. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
18. Immanuel Kant. (1998). *Critique of Pure Reason* (P. Guyer & A. Wood, Eds. & Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1781)
19. Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge? *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 9*(1), 60–70.
20. Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century*. UCL IOE Press.
21. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson. https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/corporate/global/pearson-dot-com/files/innovation/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf
22. Murphy, N. (2006). *Bodies and souls, or spirited bodies?*. Cambridge University Press.
23. Noddings, N. (2005). *The challenge to care in schools: An alternative approach to education*. Teachers College Press.
24. Palmer, P. J. (1998). *The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life*. Jossey-Bass.

25. Patel, A., & Jha, S. (2023). Implementing AI in rural education: A case study from India. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, 16(1), 33-50. https://doi.org/10.18785/jetde.1601.03
26. Postman, N. (1993). *Technopoly: The surrender of culture to technology*. Vintage.
27. Princeton Dialogues on AI and Ethics. (2018). *Case Studies*. https://aiethics.princeton.edu/case-studies/
28. PsyPost. (2025). *AI tools may weaken critical thinking skills by encouraging cognitive offloading, study suggests*. https://www.psypost.org/ai-tools-may-weaken-critical-thinking-skills-by-encouraging-cognitive-offloading-study-suggests/
29. Rae, S. B. (2014). *Created for community: Connecting Christian belief with Christian living* (3rd ed.). Baker Academic.
30. Regent University. (2024). *The Intersection of Artificial Intelligence and Christian Thought*. https://ccta.regent.edu/the-intersection-of-artificial-intelligence-and-christian-thought-a-vision-for-the-future/
31. Risko, E. F., & Gilbert, S. J. (2016). Cognitive Offloading. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(9), 676–688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.07.002
32. Searle, J. R. (1980). Minds, brains, and programs. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 3*(3), 417–424.
33. Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.
34. Smith, J. K. A. (2018). *You Are What You Love: The Spiritual Power of Habit*. Brazos Press.
35. Special Olympics. (2024). A new era of special education begins with inclusive AI. *Time*. https://time.com/7018588/special-olympics-ai-idd-artificial-intelligence/
36. Standage, T. (1998). *The Victorian Internet: The remarkable story of the telegraph and the nineteenth century's on-line pioneers*. Walker & Company.
37. Taylor, C. (1989). *Sources of the self: The making of the modern identity*. Harvard University Press.
38. Traub, G. W. (Ed.). (2008). *A Jesuit Education Reader*. Loyola Press.
39. UNESCO. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
40. VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist, 46*(4), 197–221. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369
41. Volf, M. (2015). *Flourishing: Why we need religion in a globalized world*. Yale University Press.
42. Wayfare Magazine. (2023). *AI and the Future of Faith*. https://www.wayfaremagazine.org/p/ai-and-the-future-of-faith
43. Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, *45*(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995
44. Williamson, B., & Piattoeva, N. (2022). Objectivity as standardization in data-scientific education policy. *Educational Philosophy and Theory, 54*(2), 155–168.
45. Wood, S. G., Moxley, J. H., Tighe, E. L., & Wagner, R. K. (2018). Does use of text-to-speech and related read-aloud tools improve reading comprehension for students with reading disabilities? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 51*(1), 73–84. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022219416688170

*ISSN:1624-1940*
http://magellanes.com/

46. World Economic Forum. (2020). *Schools of the Future: Defining New Models of Education for the Fourth Industrial Revolution*. https://www.weforum.org/reports/schools-of-the-future