

HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH STATE DEPENDENT DELAY

C.Gowrisankar¹, Dr.K.Malar²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Erode Arts and Science College, Rangampalayam,
Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Erode Arts and Science College, Rangampalayam,
Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

The intention of this article is to analyze the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability (HURS) of differential equations of second order with state dependent delay.

Keywords: Stability, Hyers Ulam Rassias Stability, Differential equations, Dependent delay.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:34K43, 93C10, 93C55

1. Introduction

Hernendoz and et al [1] ascertained the state- dependent delay condition by employing first order partial differential equations and abstract differential equations in the study publications of [2]. Subsequently, a scrutiny of the fore stated research and contemporary endeavors provided by Kristin et .al [3] has been focused. A variation application of the calculus of variation is the configuration of the differential equation of second order system. The research of state-dependent delays involving partial differential equations of second order and abstract differential equation, has been effectively exposed through the review of literature for references, see [4 – 6].

The correlations between the disquisition of the cited articles [7-11] and the illustration of differential equations enumerated in definite spatial position by Aiello, Freedman and Wu [12] are additionally ascertained. Inspite of that S.M.Ulam defied the problem of stable functional equations in 1940. A multitude of mathematicians [22-23] have made an intensive exploration on the stability problems with functional equations, since the introduction and the identification of the problem concerned.

In 1978, M.Rassias besowed the Generalized Hyer-Ulam Stability (also termed as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability-HURS) of this Hyers Ulam Stability [24]. Consequently, numerous researchers initiated their research on the Hyers-Ulam Stability (HUS) of functional equation and differential equations, which ended up in the incredible and in dispensable progress, which is currently witnessed. As a result, ample numbers of authors [25-34] and even more have successfully carried out extensive research on the HUS.

Occasionally termed as the HURS of first order, higher order, and fractional order differential equations. Correspondingly, the research on the HUS has attained a prominent position in the arena of mathematics.

In 2018, E. Hernández et.al. [13] proved the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following problem.

$$y''(\tau) = \mathfrak{A}y(\tau) + \mathcal{F}(\tau, y_{\rho(\tau, y_\tau)}), \tau \in [0, b] \quad (1)$$

$$y_0 = \beta \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}([-\gamma, 0]; X), y'(0^+) = x \in X \quad (2)$$

Let $(X, |\cdot|)$ be a Banach space. Define $B: U \rightarrow X$ is a bounded and linear operator, here U is a Banach space and denotes the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous cosine function of bounded linear operators $(\mathcal{C}(\tau))_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $(X, |\cdot|)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\cdot), \rho(\cdot)$ are appropriate functions; the function $y_\tau: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X, y_\tau(\theta) = y(\tau + \theta)$, is a member of particular abstract state space $\mathcal{B}$ termed clearly; $0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_n < b$ are annexed numbers; $\rho: \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (-\infty, b]$ is a suitable function.

The stat-dependent delay and stability of the abstract differential system is considered as the captivating and compelling concept of current investigation. The pre-illuminant purpose of this research is to successfully analyze the stability of the foretasted problem by applying HUS and HURS.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(\mathcal{V}, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $(\mathcal{W}, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{W}})$ represents Banach spaces. Let $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})}$ denotes a space of linear and bounded operator norm function, $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{W}): \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$.

The space $\mathfrak{E} = \{\xi \in X: \mathcal{C}(\cdot)\xi \text{ is continuously differentiable}\}$ awarded into the norm $|\xi|_{\mathfrak{E}} = |\xi| + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq b} |\mathcal{AS}(\tau)\xi|$. From the literature of Kisiński [21], since $\mathfrak{E}$ is a Banach space, $\mathcal{AS}(\tau) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, X)$ for every τ in the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and if s converges to 0 then $\mathcal{AS}(s)\xi$ converges to 0, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{E}$.

Using the references from the articles, we can comprehend the abstract Cauchy problem of second order and cosine functions better [21]. Let $\mathcal{C}([p, q]; X)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([p, q]; \mathcal{V})$ be normally defined spaces and its norms are denoted as $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}([p, q]; X)}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([p, q]; X)}$ respectively. We termed that

$$|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([p, q]; X)} = |\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}([p, q]; X)} + [\cdot]_{\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([p, q]; X)}$$

where $[\xi]_{\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([p, q]; \mathcal{V})} = \sup_{\tau, s \in [p, q], s \neq \tau} \frac{|\xi(s) - \xi(\tau)|_{\mathcal{V}}}{|\tau - s|}$ and using the state-dependent delay from [16].

Lemma 2.1. [16, Lemma 1]

Suppose $y, z \in \mathcal{C}([-\alpha, a]; X), 0 < a \leq b$, the function $\rho(\cdot)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{Lip}([0, b] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)$, $y_{[0, a]}, z_{[0, a]} \in \mathcal{C}_{Lip}([0, a]; X), y_0 = z_0 = \vartheta \in \mathcal{C}_{Lip}([-\alpha, 0]; X)$, and $\rho(\tau, h_\tau) \leq \eta$ for $\eta = y, z$ and every $\tau \in [0, a]$.

$$[y_{\rho(\cdot, y(\cdot))}]_{C_{Lip}([0, a]; \mathcal{B})} \leq [y(\cdot)]_{C_{Lip}([0, a]; \mathcal{B})} [\rho]_{C_{Lip}([0, a] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)} \left(1 + [y(\cdot)]_{C_{Lip}([0, a]; \mathcal{B})}\right) \quad (3)$$

$$\left| y_{\sigma(\cdot, y(\cdot))} - z_{\sigma(\cdot, z(\cdot))} \right|_{C([0, a]; \mathcal{B})} \quad (4)$$

$$\leq \left(1 + [z(\cdot)]_{C_{Lip}([0, a]; \mathcal{B})} [\rho]_{C_{Lip}([0, a] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)}\right) |y - z|_{C([0, a]; X)} \quad (5)$$

The presence and originality of the answer are first investigated. The validity of the problem is then shown (1)-(2). First, we outline the modest and conventional approach to (1)-(2).

Definition 2.1. [13]

The mild solution function $y \in C([0, a]; X)$ of (1)-(2) on $[-\alpha, a]$, when $0 < a \leq b$, is defined by

$$y(\tau) = \mathcal{C}(\tau)\beta(0) + \mathcal{S}(\tau)x + \int_0^\tau \mathcal{S}(\tau - s)\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s, y_s)})ds$$

for all $\tau \in [0, a]$ and if $y_0 = \beta$.

Now, we may acquire the initial primary result.

Theorem 2.1. [6]

Let (X, d) be a generalised complete metric space. Assume that $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ is a lipschitz-constant strictly contractive operator $L < 1$, If there exists a nonnegative integer k such that $d(\Phi^{k+1}x, \Phi^k) < \infty$ for some $x \in X$, then the following are true:

- (a) The sequence $\{\Phi^n x\}$ converges to a fixed end point x^* of Φ .
- (b) x^* is the unique fixed point of Φ in $X^* = \{y \in X / d(\Phi^k x, y) < \infty\}$.
- (c) If $y \in X^*$, then $d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Phi y, y)$.

3. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability and Hyers-Ulam Stability

This section's primary objective is to investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (H-U-R-S) and Hyers-Ulam stability of the second order differential equation (H-U-S) (1)-(2).

Theorem 3.1. [13]

Let us assume that $\rho \in C_{Lip}([0, b] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\rho(0, v) = 0$ and a non-negative number r^* exists and $0 < a^* \leq b$ provides $0 \leq \rho(\tau, \vartheta) \leq \tau$ for every $\tau \in [0, a^*]$ and $\vartheta \in B_{r^*}(\beta, \mathcal{B})$. Also, $\beta \in C_{Lip}([-\alpha, 0]; X)$, $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)\beta(0) \in C_{Lip}([0, a]; X)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in C_{Lip}([0, b] \times \mathcal{B}; X)$ holds. Then exactly one mild solution $y \in C_{Lip}([-\alpha, a]; X)$ of problem (1)-(2) on $[-\alpha, a]$ for any $0 < a \leq b$, exists.

Proof:

Let d^* and r^* be defined in condition $\mathbf{H}_{\rho,v}$. Let $\mathcal{R} > 0$ be sufficient and it satisfies that $\mathcal{R} > [\beta]_{C_{Lip}([-\alpha,0];X)} + [\mathcal{C}(\cdot)v(0)]_{C_{Lip}([0,b];X)} + C_0|x|$. Let us consider $\mathcal{R}a \leq r^*$ when $0 < a < \min\{b, d^*, 1\}$ and

$$C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \mathcal{R}[\rho]_{C_{Lip}([0,b] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)}\right) < 1, \quad (6)$$

$$[\mathcal{C}(\cdot)\beta(0)]_{C_{Lip}([0,a];X)} + C_0|x| + 2aC_0 \left(L_g r^* + \sup_{\tau \in [0,a]} |\mathcal{G}(\tau, \beta)| \right) \leq \mathcal{R}. \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{G}y(\tau) = \mathcal{C}(\tau)\beta(0) + \mathcal{S}(\tau)x + \int_0^\tau \mathcal{S}(\tau-s)\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)})ds. \quad (8)$$

Let $y \in \mathcal{Y}(a, \mathcal{R})$. By the Lemma 2.1 and the selection of $\mathcal{R}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)})| &\leq |\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)}) - \mathcal{G}(s, \beta)| + |\mathcal{G}(s, \beta)| \\ |\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)})| &\leq L_g |y_{\rho(s,y_s)} - \beta| + \sup_{\tau \in [0,a]} |\mathcal{G}(\tau, \beta)| \end{aligned}$$

Using (8), for all $\tau \in [0, a]$ and $\tau + \eta \in [0, a]$, for $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}y(\tau + \eta) - \mathcal{G}y(\tau)| &\leq [\mathcal{E}(\cdot)\beta(0)]_{C_{Lip}([0,a];X)}\eta + C_0|x|\eta + C_0\eta \sup_{s \in [0,a]} |\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)})|a \\ |\mathcal{G}y(\tau + \eta) - \mathcal{G}y(\tau)| &+ C_0a \sup_{s \in [0,a]} |\mathcal{G}(s, y_{\rho(s,y_s)})|\eta \end{aligned}$$

this indicates that $[\mathcal{E}y]_{C_{Lip}([0,a];X)} \leq \mathcal{R}$. Furthermore, we know that $(\mathcal{G}y)_0 = \beta, \beta \in C_{Lip}([-\gamma, 0]; X)$ and $\mathcal{R} > [\beta]_{C_{Lip}([-\gamma,0];X)}$, from Lemma 2.1 we obtain that $\mathcal{G}y \in C_{Lip}([-\gamma, a]; X)$ and $[\mathcal{G}y]_{C_{Lip}([-\gamma,a];X)} \leq \mathcal{R}$, which shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is a $\mathcal{Y}(a, \mathcal{R})$ -valued function.

Contrarily, the Lemma's conclusion was achieved 2.1 and for every $y, z \in \mathcal{Y}(a, \mathcal{R})$ and $\tau \in [0, a]$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}y(\tau) - \mathcal{G}z(\tau)| &\leq \int_0^\tau C_0 a L_g |y_{\rho(s,y_s)} - z_{\rho(s,z_s)}|_B ds \\ |\mathcal{G}y(\tau) - \mathcal{G}z(\tau)| &\leq C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \mathcal{R}[\rho]_{C_{Lip}([0,b] \times \mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^+)}\right) d(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

which exhibits the contraction map $\mathcal{G}$, which denotes the unique solution to (1)-(2) on the interval $[0, a]$ such that $y \in C_{Lip}([-\alpha, a]; X)$.

Theorem 3.2 (Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability(H-U-R-S)).

Consider the closed interval $I_R = [0, b]$. Let κ_1, C_0 and L_g be non-negative constants with the condition

$$0 < \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{Lip}([0, b] \times B; R^+) \right) < 1.$$

Proof:

Assume that the function $F: [0, b] \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is continuous and satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$|F(\tau, y_\sigma) - F(\tau, z_\sigma)| \leq L_g (1 + [Z]_{Lip}([- \alpha, a]; X) [\sigma]_{Lip}([0, a] \times B; R)) |y - z|_{G([- \alpha, a], X)} \quad (9)$$

for each $\tau \in I_R$ and $y, z \in \mathfrak{R}$. If $F: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is a continuously differentiable function satisfies

$$|y'' - Ay(\tau) - F(\tau, y_{\sigma(\tau, y_\tau)})| \leq \vartheta(\tau) \quad (10)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, and $\vartheta: [0, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with the inequality

$$\left| \int_0^\tau (\tau - t_1) \vartheta(\tau_1) dt_1 \right| \leq \kappa_1 \vartheta(\tau) \quad (11)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, then there is a unique continuous function $y_0: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that

$$y_0(\tau) = C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds \quad (12)$$

and

$$|y(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{Lip}([0, b] \times B; R^+))} \vartheta(\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in I_R \quad (13)$$

Proof: Define a set

$$\Phi = \{y: [0, b] \rightarrow [- \alpha, b] \mid y \text{ is continuous} \} \quad (14)$$

equipped with generalized complete metric

$$d(y, z) = \inf\{C \in [0, \infty] \mid |y(\tau) - z(\tau)| \leq C_{yz} \vartheta(\tau), \forall \tau \in [0, b]\} \quad (15)$$

Define an operator $\Psi: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ by

$$(\Psi y)(\tau) = C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds \quad (16)$$

for each $y \in \Phi$.

We noticed that Ψ is well defined because both F and y are continuous functions.

In order to reach our goal, we must first prove that Ψ is strictly contractive operator on Φ .

For any $y, z \in \Phi$, assume $C_{yz} \in [0, \infty]$ is arbitrary constant such that $d(y, z) \leq C_{yz}$.

(i.e) from (15) we have

$$|y(\tau) - z(\tau)| \leq C_{yz}\vartheta(\tau) \quad (17)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

From the conditions (9), (11), (14), (15) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi y)t - (\Psi z)t| &\leq \int_0^\tau C_0 a L_g |y_{\sigma(s, y_s)} - z_{\sigma(s, z_s)}| ds \\ |(\Psi y)t - (\Psi z)t| &\leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) C_{yz} \vartheta(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in [0, b]$. That is

$$d(\Psi y, \Psi z) \leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) C_{yz} \vartheta(\tau)$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$d(\Psi y, \Psi z) \leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) d(y, z)$$

for any $y, z \in \Phi$.

From (15) and (17), it follows that for any arbitrary $y_0 \in \Phi$, there is a constant C such that $0 < C < \infty$ with

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi y_0)(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| &= \left| C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds - y_0(\tau) \right| \\ |(\Psi y_0)(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| &\leq C\vartheta(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, since $F(\tau, y_0(\tau))$ and $y_0(\tau)$ are bounded in the interval $[0, b]$ and $\min_{\tau \in [0, b]} \vartheta(\tau) > 0$.

Thus (16) it means that

$$d(\Psi y_0, y_0) < \infty$$

As a result, by theorem (2.1), there is a continuous function $f_0: [0, b] \rightarrow \Re$ so that $\Psi^n y_0 \rightarrow f_0$ in (Φ, d) and $\Psi f_0 = f_0$. This means that, f_0 corresponds to the equation (13) for each $t \in [0, b]$.

Now we validate that $\{y \in \Phi / d(y_0, y) < \infty\} = \Phi$.

For any value $h \in \Phi$, since h and h_0 are bounded on the interval $[0, b]$ and $\min_{\tau \in [0, b]} \beta(\tau) > 0$, there is a constant $0 < C_h < \infty$ such that $|h_0(\tau) - h(\tau)| \leq C_h \vartheta(\tau)$.

Hence, we must have $d(h_0, h) < \infty, \forall h \in \Phi$. (i.e) $\{h \in \Phi / d(h_0, h) < \infty\} = \Phi$.

Hence in sight of theorem (2.1), we can conclude that f_0 is the unique continuous function with the property (13).

Also, it follows from (10)

$$-\vartheta(\tau) \leq y'' - Ay(\tau) - F(\tau, y_{\sigma(\tau, y_\tau)}) \leq \vartheta(\tau)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

If we integrate every terms of previous inequality from 0 to, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau &\leq \int_0^\tau [y''(\tau) - Ay(\tau) - g(\tau, y_\sigma)] d\tau \leq \int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau \\ -\int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau &\leq y'(\tau) - x(\tau) - \int_0^\tau Ay(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^\tau g(\tau, y_\sigma) d\tau \leq \int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Again integrating from 0 to s we get,

$$-\int_0^s \int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau ds \leq y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \leq \int_0^s \int_0^\tau \vartheta(\tau) d\tau ds$$

Now applying the replacement lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^\tau (\tau - s)\vartheta(\tau) d\tau &\leq y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \leq \int_0^\tau (\tau - s)\vartheta(\tau) d\tau \\ \left| y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^\tau (\tau - s)\vartheta(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ \left| y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \right| &\leq \kappa_1 \vartheta(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

Hence by conditions (12) and (17) we get

$$|y(\tau) - (\Psi y)(\tau)| \leq \kappa_1 \vartheta(\tau)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, which gives

$$d(y, \Psi y) \leq \kappa_1 \vartheta(\tau) \quad (18)$$

Finally the theorem (2.1) with (18) means that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, y_0) &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0,b] \times B; R^+)})} d(y, \Psi y) \\ d(y, y_0) &\leq \frac{\kappa_1}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0,b] \times B; R^+)})} \vartheta(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 (Hyers-Ulam Stability(H-U-S)).

Consider the closed interval $I_R = [0, b]$. Let κ_1 , C_0 , and L_g be non-negative constants with the condition $0 < \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0,b] \times B; R^+)}) < 1$. Assume that the function $F: [0, b] \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is continuous and satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$|F(\tau, y_\sigma) - F(\tau, z_\sigma)| \leq L_g (1 + [z]_{\text{Lip}([-a,a]; X)} [\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0,a] \times B; R)}) |y - z|_{G([-a,a], X)} \quad (19)$$

for each $\tau \in I_R$ and $u, v \in \mathfrak{R}$. If $F: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ is a continuously differentiable function satisfies

$$|y'' - Ay(\tau) - F(\tau, y_{\sigma(\tau, y_\tau)})| \leq \varepsilon \quad (20)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, then there is a unique continuous function $f_0: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that

$$y_0(\tau) = C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds \quad (21)$$

and

$$|y(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| \leq \frac{ts}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0,b] \times B; R^+)})} \varepsilon \quad (22)$$

Proof:

Define a set

$$\Phi = \{y: [0, b] \rightarrow [-a, b] \mid y \text{ is continuous} \} \quad (23)$$

equipped with generalized complete metric

$$d(y, z) = \inf\{C \in [0, \infty] \mid |y(\tau) - z(\tau)| \leq C, \forall \tau \in [0, b]\} \quad (24)$$

Define an operator $\Psi: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ by

$$(\Psi y)(\tau) = C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds \quad (25)$$

for each $y \in \Phi$.

To reach our goal, we must first prove that Ψ is strictly contractive operator on Φ .

For any $y, z \in \Phi$, assume $C_{yz} \in [0, \infty]$ is arbitrary constant such that $d(y, z) \leq C_{yz}$.

(i.e) from (15) we have

$$|y(\tau) - z(\tau)| \leq C_{yz} \quad (26)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

From the conditions (19), (25) and (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi y)\tau - (\Psi z)\tau| &\leq \int_0^\tau C_0 a L_g |y_{\sigma(s, y_s)} - z_{\sigma(s, z_s)}| ds \\ |(\Psi y)\tau - (\Psi z)\tau| &\leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) C_{yz} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in [0, b]$. That is

$$d(\Psi y, \Psi z) \leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) C_{yz}$$

Hence, we can conclude that

$$d(\Psi y, \Psi z) \leq \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g \left(1 + \Re[\sigma]_{Lip([0, b] \times B; R^+)}\right) d(y, z)$$

for any $y, z \in \Phi$.

Analogously to the proof of the above theorem, we can show that each $y_0 \in \Phi$ satisfies the property $d(\Psi y_0, y_0) < \infty$.

Therefore, theorem 2.1 implies that there exists a continuous function $y_0: [0, b] \rightarrow \Re$ such that $\Psi^n y_0 \rightarrow y_0$ in (Φ, d) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $y_0 = \Phi y_0$, that is, y_0 satisfies (12) for any $\tau \in I_R$. From (14) and (16), it follows that for any arbitrary $g_0 \in \Phi$, there is a constant C such that $0 < C < \infty$ with

$$\begin{aligned} |(\Psi y_0)(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| &= \left| C(\tau)\beta(0) + \delta(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \delta(\tau - s)F(s, y_{\sigma(s, y_s)})ds - y_0(\tau) \right| \\ |(\Psi y_0)(\tau) - y_0(\tau)| &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$. Thus (24) it means that

$$d(\Psi y_0, y_0) < \infty$$

Now we validate that $\{y \in \Phi / d(y_0, y) < \infty\} = \Phi$.

For any value $h \in \Phi$, since h and h_0 are bounded on the interval $[0, b]$ and $\min_{\tau \in [0, b]} \beta(\tau) > 0$, there is a constant $0 < C_{f_1 f_2} < \infty$ such that $|h_0(\tau) - h(\tau)| \leq C_h \varepsilon$.

Hence, we must have $d(h_0, h) < \infty, \forall h \in \Phi$. (i.e) $\{h \in \Phi / d(h_0, h) < \infty\} = \Phi$.

Hence in sight of theorem (2.1), we can conclude that f_0 is the unique continuous function with the property (21). Also, it follows from (20)

$$-\varepsilon \leq y'' - Ay(\tau) - F(\tau, y_{\sigma(\tau, y_\tau)}) \leq \varepsilon$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

If we integrate every terms of previous inequality from 0 to , we get

$$-\int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau \leq \int_0^\tau [y''(\tau) - Ay(\tau) - g(\tau, y_\sigma)] d\tau \leq \int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau \quad (27)$$

$$-\int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau \leq y'(\tau) - x(\tau) - \int_0^\tau Ay(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^\tau g(\tau, y_\sigma) d\tau \leq \int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau \quad (28)$$

Again integrating from 0 to s we get,

$$-\int_0^s \int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau ds \leq y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \leq \int_0^s \int_0^\tau \varepsilon d\tau ds \quad (29)$$

Now applying the replacement lemma, we obtain

$$-\varepsilon \tau s \leq y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \leq \varepsilon \tau s \quad (30)$$

$$\left| y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \right| \leq |\varepsilon \tau s| \quad (31)$$

$$\left| y(\tau) - \beta(0)c(\tau) - s(\tau)x - \int_0^\tau S(\tau - s)g(s, y_s) ds \right| \leq \varepsilon \tau s \quad (32)$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$.

Hence by conditions (12) and (17) we get

$$|y(\tau) - (\Psi y)(\tau)| \leq \varepsilon \tau s$$

$\forall \tau \in [0, b]$, which gives

$$d(y, \Psi y) \leq \varepsilon \tau s \quad (33)$$

Finally, the theorem (2.1) with (33) means that

$$d(y, y_0) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0, b] \times B; R^+)})} d(y, \Psi y)$$

$$d(y, y_0) \leq \frac{\tau s}{1 - \kappa_1 C_0 a^2 L_g (1 + \mathfrak{R}[\sigma]_{\text{Lip}([0, b] \times B; R^+)})} \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof.

4. Conclusion

Hernendoz has proved uniqueness and existence of solutions in second order differential equations with state dependent equations with state dependent delay. For the current research, a fore stated problem is taken into consideration with the inclusion and implementation of HURS. Thus, with the application of HURS the research successfully satisfied the purpose and effectively proved.

5. Bibliography

- [1] Aoued. D, S. B. Bendimerad, Controllability of mild solutions for evolution equations with infinite state-dependent delay, Euro J Pure Appl Math., 9 (2016), 383-401.
- [2] Aiello. W. G, H.I. Freedman, J. Wu, Analysis of a model representing stage-structured population growth with statedependent time delay, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 52 (1992), 855-869.
- [3] Arthi. G, K. Balachandran, Controllability of second order impulsive functional differential equations with state dependent delay, Bull Korean Math Soc., 48 (2011), 1271-1290.
- [4] Chueshov. I, A. Rezounenko, Dynamics of second order in time evolution equations with state-dependent delay, Nonlin Anal: TMA, 123 (2015), 126-149.
- [5] Chang Y.K., M.M. Arjunan, V. Kavitha, Existence results for a second order impulsive functional differential equation with state-dependent delay, Diff Equ Appl., 1 (2009), 325-339.
- [6] Cadariu L, Radu V. Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation J Inequal Pure Appl Math., 4(1) (2003), 445-565.
- [7] L.P. Castro, A. Ramos, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for a class of nonlinear Volterra integral equations, Banach J. Math. Anal., 3 (2009), 36-43.

- [8] Chalishajar. D.N., K.Malar, R.Ilavarasi, Existence and Controllability Results of Impulsive Neutral Fractional Integro-differential Equations with Sectorial operator and Infinite Delay, Journal of Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive system series A: Mathematical Analysis, 28 (2011), 77-106.
- [9] Chalishajar D N, Malar K, Karthikeyan K, A Study of Controllability of Impulsive Neutral Evolution Integro-Differential Equations with State-Dependent Delay in Banach Spaces, Electron. J. Differ. Equ, 2013(275) (2013), 1-21.
- [10] Chalishajar D N, Anguraj A, Malar K, Karthikeyan K, A Study of Controllability of Impulsive Neutral Evolution IntegroDifferential Equations with State-Dependent Delay in Banach Spaces, Mathematics, 4(4) (2016), 60.
- [11] Das. S, D.N. Pandey, N. Sukavanam, Existence of solution and approximate controllability of a second-order neutral stochastic differential equation with state dependent delay, Acta Math Sci Ser B Engl Ed., 36 (2016), 1509-1523.
- [12] Fattorini. H.O, Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Amsterdam, 1985 .
- [13] E. Hernández, K. Azevedo, D. O'Regan, On second order differential equations with state-dependent delay, Appl Anal 97 (2018), 2610-2617.
- [14] Henr H. R, squez, Differentiability of solutions of the second order abstract Cauchy problem, Semi., 64 (2002), 472-488.
- [15] Hern. E, A. Prokopczyk, L. Ladeira, A note on partial functional differential equations with state-dependent delay, Nonlin Anal Real Wor Appl., 7 (2006), 510-519.
- [16] Hern. E, M. Pierri, J. Wu, $C^{1+\alpha}$ -strict solutions and wellposedness of abstract differential equations with state dependent delay, J Diff Equ., 261 (2016), 6856-6882.
- [17] Hern. E, Existence of solutions for a second order abstract functional differential equation with state-dependent delay, Ele J Diff Equ., 7 (2007), 1-10.
- [18] Huan. D, R. Agarwal, H. Gao, Approximate Controllability for Time-Dependent Impulsive Neutral Stochastic Partial Differential Equations with Memory. Filomat., 31(2017), 3433-3442.
- [19] Hyers S.H, on the stability of the linear functional equations, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci., 27(1941), 222-224.
- [20] Jung S-M. A fixed-point approach to the stability of differential equations $y'(t) = F(x, y)$. Bull Malays Math Sci Soc., 33 (2010), 47 – 56.

- [21] Kisý. J, On cosine operator functions and one parameter group of operators, *Stud Math.*, 49 (1972), 93-105.
- [22] Krisztin. T, A. Rezounenko, Parabolic partial differential equations with discrete state-dependent delay: Classical solutions and solution manifold, *J Diff Equ.*, 260 (2016), 4454-4472.
- [23] Li. M, M. Huang, Approximate controllability of second-order impulsive stochastic differential equations with state dependent delay, *J Appl Anal Comp.*, 8 (2018), 598-619.
- [24] Muniyappan p and Rajan s, Stability of a class of Fractional Integro-Differential Equation, *Fixed Point Theory*, 20(1) (2019), 591 – 600
- [25] Radhakrishnan. B, K. Balachandran, Controllability of neutral evolution integro-differential systems with state dependent delay, *J. Optim. Theo. Appl.*, 153 (2012), 85-97.
- [26] Ravi.J, A robust measure of pairwise distance estimation approach: RD-RANSAC, *International Journal of Statistics and Applied Mathematics*, 2(2)(2017), 31-34.
- [27] Rajan. S, P. Muniyappan, C Park, S Yun, J R Lee, Stability of Fractional Differential Equation with Boundary Conditions, *J. Comp. Anal and Appl.*, 23(4) (2017), 750-757.
- [28] Rassias M, on the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc.Amer.Soc.*, 72(1978), 297-300.
- [29] Sakthivel. R, E.R. Anandhi, N.I. Mahmudov, Approximate controllability of second-order systems with state-dependent delay, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 29 (2008), 1347-1362.
- [30] Ulam S.M, Problems in Modern Mathematics, *Rend. Chap.VI*, Wiley, New York, 1940.
- [31] Vijayakumar. V, Existence of global solutions for a class of abstract second-order nonlocal cauchy problem with impulsive conditions in Banach spaces, *Numer. Fun. Anal. Opt.*, 39 (2018), 704-736.
- [32] Vijayakumar. V, R. Murugesu, Controllability for a class of second-order evolution differential inclusions without compactness, *Appl. Anal.*, 98 (2019), 1367-1385.
- [33] Vijayakumar. V, S. K. Panda, K. S. Nisar, H. M. Baskonus, Results on approximate controllability results for secondorder Sobolev-type impulsive neutral differential evolution inclusions with infinite delay, *Numer. Meth. Part. Diff. Equ.*, 4(2) (2021), 1456-1469.
- [34] Vinodkumar. A, K.Malar, M.Gowrisankar, P.Mohankumar, Existence, uniqueness and stability of random impulsive fractional differential equations, *Journal of Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 36(2) (2006), 428-442.