ISSN:1624-1940

DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

PROBIOTICS: KNOWLEDGE, PRACTICES, AND ATTITUDES AMONG DENTAL POST-GRADUATE STUDENTS IN PATNA POPULATION

Priva Sinha

Post graduate, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. dr.priyasinha02@gmail.com

Archana Sudheer

HOD, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. drarchanasudheer@gmail.com

Anjali Kumari

Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. dranjali0112@gmail.com

Payal Priya

Post graduate, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. Payalmds2021@gmail.com

Naazia Shareef

Post graduate, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. Shareefnaazia@gmail.com

Abhishek Kumar

Post Graduate, Department of Oral Surgery, BIDSH, Patna, Bihar, India. Sinha.avisek@gmail.com

Corresponding Author - Priya Sinha, dr.priyasinha02@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Background: Probiotics, defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer health benefits to the host, have gained attention for their role in maintaining oral health. They function by inhibiting pathogenic organisms, thereby enhancing resistance to harmful colonization and supporting metabolic activities.

Aim: This study aims to evaluate the knowledge, practices, and attitudes toward probiotics among dental post-graduate students in Patna, with a focus on their awareness and perceived benefits for oral health.

Study Design: A descriptive, cross-sectional survey was conducted among dental post-graduates from BIDSH, Patna, using a random sampling method. Data were analyzed using the chi-square test for statistical significance.

Methodology: A structured questionnaire, comprising 24 items derived from existing literature on probiotic knowledge, was distributed to first-, second-, an third-year dental post-graduates.

Results: Out of 105 participants, 63.81% were female and 36.19% were male. A substantial majority

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024

ISSN:1624-1940 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599

http://magellanes.com/

(96.14%) were aware of the term "probiotics," and 66.86% believed that probiotics could positively impact oral health.

Conclusion: The study demonstrates a high level of awareness and knowledge about probiotics among dental post-graduate students in Patna. The positive perception of probiotics' role in oral health suggests the potential for incorporating probiotics into dental practice. Further community-based programs are recommended to explore their clinical implications for oral health improvement.

Key words: Attitude, dentistry, knowledge, practice, probiotics, dental post graduates.

INTRODUCTIONccording to the World Health Organization (WHO), probiotics are live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate quantities, offer health benefits to the host. In dentistry, probiotics have shown potential for preventing and treating conditions such as dental caries, periodontal disease, and halitosis.² Their mechanisms include competing for nutrients and adhesion sites, modulating levels of secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA) in saliva, releasing antimicrobial agents, reducing the rate of plaque formation, and influencing salivary secretion.³ The oral cavity contains a wide variety of microorganisms, and any disruption in this microbial balance, known as oral dysbiosis, can lead to infections caused by the overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria.4

Despite the promising effects of probiotics in dentistry, their use remains limited due to a lack of awareness and knowledge among healthcare professionals. This is partly due to the absence of evidencebased recommendations, as the effectiveness of probiotics depends on factors such as bacterial strain, dosage, and duration of administration.3 The variability in these parameters makes it challenging to recommend probiotics consistently in dental practice.

The aim of our study was to assess the knowledge, practices, and attitudes toward probiotics among dental post-graduate students in Patna, and to compare awareness and understanding between first-, second-, and third-year students.

MATERIAL AND METHOD:

This cross-sectional survey was conducted with approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee (Ref no. 385/BIDSH) at the Buddha Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital in Patna, Bihar. The survey was carried out between November 2023 and February 2024 among dental post-graduate students. A close-ended questionnaire comprising 24 questions was created and modified based on a thorough literature review of previously published articles regarding knowledge and awareness of probiotics. The questionnaire was distributed to the post-graduates on an individual basis.

The survey was divided into four sections: the first section collected demographic information, including age, sex, and year of education. The second section assessed the respondents' attitudes toward probiotics. In the third section, participants were questioned about their knowledge of probiotics, including their positive effects on oral health, sources, and constituents. The fourth section inquired about the respondents' practices related to probiotics, their perceived effects on overall health, potential side effects, and personal experiences after using probiotics.

ISSN:1624-1940

DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics were generated for each variable, and summarized data were presented using tables and graphs. The chi-square test was employed for the assessment of categorical variables, with a significance level set at a p-value of less than 0.05.

Sampl Size Estimation

The sample size was determined using N Master Software (version 2.0). Based on a calculated proportion of 0.45 (Mahendra et al.), a precision level of 5%, a confidence level of 95%, and a power of 80%, the minimum sample size was calculated to be 86. The formula for sample size estimation was applied accordingly.

$$n = \frac{\left\{Z_{1-a/2} \sqrt{P_o(1-P_o)} + Z_{1-\beta} \sqrt{P_a(1-P_a)}\right\}}{(P_a - P_o)^2}$$

RESULT

A total of 105 dental post-graduate students participated in the study, of whom 67 (63.81%) were females and 38 (36.19%) were males, with a mean age of 27.98 \pm 2.784 years (age range: 25-41 years). The difference in gender distribution was statistically insignificant (p = 0.988).

In our findings, 87.88% of third-year students, 77.14% of second-year students, and 81.08% of first-year students reported that undergraduate students should be informed about the benefits of probiotics (p = 0.002). Furthermore, 93.94% of third-year students, 68.57% of second-year students, and 78.38% of first-year students indicated that probiotics should not be administered with the same frequency to adults and children. This difference was statistically significant when compared across the different year groups (p = 0.001).

Questionnaire		First	N	Second	N	Third	N	Tota	N	P
		year	37	year	35	year	39	1	105	Value
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Do you feel that	Yes	31	83.78	31	88.57	31	93.94	93	88.57	0.210,
probiotic should	No	2	5.41	0	0.00	1	3.03	3	2.86	NS
be prescribed as	May	4	10.81	4	11.43	0				
an adjuvant	be	4	10.81		11.43	0	0.00	8	7.62	
Do you feel that	Yes	30	81.08	27	77.14	29	87.88	86	81.90	0.002*,
undergraduate	No	6	16.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	6	5.71	sig
should be aware	May									
of the benefits of	be	1	2.70	8	22.86	4				
probiotic							12.12	13	12.38	
Do you feel that	Yes	22	59.46	25	71.43	28	84.85	75	71.43	0.094,
probiotic can	No	2	5.41	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	1.90	NS
improve oral	May	13	35.14	10	28.57	5				
flora	be	13	33.14	10	20.37	3	15.15	28	26.67	

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

Do you think that	Yes	30	81.08	30	85.71	27	81.82	87	82.86	0.418,
probiotic should	No	5	13.51	1	2.86	2	6.06	8	7.62	NS
be taken as	May									
supplement in	be	2	5.41	4	11.43	4				
regular basis							12.12	10	9.52	
	Yes	13	35.14	10	28.57	19	57.58	42	40.00	0.143,
Do you think that	No	15	40.54	14	40.00	9	27.27	38	36.19	NS
efficacy of	May									
probiotic in	be									
'tablet' and		9	24.32	11	31.43	5				
'sachet' form are										
same							15.15	25	23.81	
Do you think that	Yes	8	21.62	3	8.57	0	0.00	11	10.48	0.001*,
probiotic should	No	29	78.38	24	68.57	31	93.94	84	80.00	sig
be prescribed in	May									
both adult and	be	0	0.00	8	22.86	2				
child in equal		U	0.00	0	22.80					
frequency							6.06	10	9.52	

Table 1: Assessment of attitude towards probiotics among first, second and third year dental post graduates.

A total of 56.1% (N = 59) of respondents indicated that their knowledge of probiotics was acquired from healthcare professionals (p = 0.009). When asked about the route of administration for probiotics, a significantly higher proportion of third-year post-graduate students reported that probiotics should be administered orally compared to their peers in other years (p = 0.001).

Furthermore, 81.82% of third-year students, 54.29% of second-year students, and 21.62% of first-year students correctly identified live microorganisms as the constituents of probiotics. This difference in correct responses was statistically significant across the various year groups (p = 0.001).

1	J	0			J	0	1 (1		,	
Questionnai		Firs	N	Secon	N	Thir	N	Tota	N	P
re		t	37	d year	35	d	39	1	105	value
		yea				year				
		r								
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Are you	Yes	34	91.8	35	100.0	32	96.9		96.1	0.191
aware of the		34	9	33	0	32	7	101	9	ns
term	No	3	8.11	0	0.00	1	3.03	4	3.81	
"probiotic"	May be	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	
If YES, from	Health care	11	29.7	21	60.00	27	81.8	59	56.1	0.009*

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

where did you get the	personal		3				2		9	sig
information	Different health related articles and news	20	54.0	13	37.14	5	15.1	38	36.1	
	From audio – visual aid (TV and Radio)	1	2.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.95	
	Social media or internet.	2	5.41	1	2.86	0	0.00	3	2.86	
Probiotics can be	Orally	24	64.8	33	94.29	32	96.9 7	89	84.7	0.001* , sig
administere	Intravenously	2	5.41	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	1.90	
d.	Both "a" and "b"	11	29.7	1	2.86	1	3.03	13	12.3	
	Do not know	0	0.00	1	2.86	0	0.00	1	0.95	
Which among these are	Live microorganis m	8	21.6	19	54.29	27	81.8	54	51.4	0.001* , sig
constituent of probiotic	Selectively fermented ingredients in dairy products	11	29.7	3	8.57	0	0.00	14	13.3	
	Both: a" and "b"	18	48.6	13	37.14	6	18.1	37	35.2 4	
	Do not know		0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00	
Probiotics are best	Food and drinks	7	18.9	14	40.00	12	36.3	33	31.4	0.159 NS
consumed with.	Supplements	3	8.11	2	5.71	5	15.1 5	10	9.52	
	Both "a" and "b"	27	72.9 7	19	54.29	16	48.4	62	59.0 5	
	Do not know		0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00	
Which among these	Diary product	29	78.3 8	30	85.71	32	96.9 7	91	86.6 7	0.020* , sig
are source of probiotic	Cereals and pulses	5	13.5	0	0.00	1	3.03	6	5.71	
	Both "a" and	3	8.11	5	14.29	0	0.00	8	7.62	1

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

	"b"									
•	Do not know		0.00		0.00		0.00	0	0.00	
Which of the	Bacteriocins	8	21.6	3	8.57	4	12.1		14.2	0.173
following	and lactic acid	0	2	3	0.37	4	2	15	9	NS
compounds	Lactobacillus	6	16.2	4	11.43	3			12.3	
are produced		U	2	7	11.73	3	9.09	13	8	
by probiotic	Both "a" and	23	62.1	22	62.86	22	66.6		63.8	
	"b"	23	6	22	02.00	22	7	67	1	
	Do not know	0	0.00	6	17.14	6	18.1		11.4	
				Ů	17.11		8	12	3	
Which	Plaque index	10	27.0	4	11.43	1			14.2	0.02*,
among the			3	-			3.03	15	9	sig
following	Probing depth	5	13.5	0	0.00	3				
factor			1				9.09	8	7.62	_
improve in	Both "a" and	17	45.9	22	62.86	23	69.7	(2)	59.0	
smoker's	"b"		5				0	62	5	_
after	Do not know									
probiotic		5	13.5	9	25.71	6				
treatment consisting		3	1	9	23./1	0	18.1		19.0	
S.Salivaris							8	20	5	
Which	Bifidobacteriu		18.9				0	20	14.2	0.220
among these	m	7	2	5	14.29	3	9.09	15	9	NS NS
is an	Lactobacillius	2	5.41	0	0.00	1	3.03	3	2.86	11,5
effective	Both "a" and		59.4				69.7		59.0	-
probiotic	"b"	22	6	17	48.57	23	0	62	5	
bacterium in	Do not know									-
reducing										
CFU's of S.			16.2	12	27.14					
mutans in		6	2	13	37.14	6				
dental							18.1		23.8	
caries?							8	25	1	
Earliest	S. Salivarius	9	24.3	18	51.43	17	51.5		41.9	0.012*
probiotic	K12	9	2	10	31.43	1 /	2	44	0	, sig
strain that	Bifidobacteriu	7	18.9	3	8.57	0				
target the	m	,	2	3	0.57	0	0.00	10	9.52	
oral	Both "a" and	14	37.8	7	20.00	14	42.4		33.3	
malodaor	"b"		4				2	35	3]
	None of the	7	18.9	7	20.00	2	6.06	16	15.2	

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

	above		2						4	
Probiotic	Symbiosis	28	75.6	25	71.43	25	75.7		74.2	0.064
improves		20	8	23	71.15	23	6	78	9	NS
colonization	Eubiosis	4	10.8	2	5.71	0				
of		7	1	2	3.71		0.00	6	5.71	
pathogenic	Both 'a' and	3	8.11	4	11.43	0				
bacteria in	'b'		0.11		11.73		0.00	7	6.67	
oral cavity	None of the									
by reducing	above									
the										
pathogenic										
bacteria,										
biofilm, and		2	5.41	4	11.43	8				
inflammator										
y response.										
This										
phenomena							24.2		13.3	
is known as							4	14	3	
A	Microbiome	24	64.8	30	85.71	29	87.8		79.0	0.023*
combination	therapy	24	6	30	03.71	29	8	83	5	, sig
of probiotic	Multibiome	9	24.3	2	5.71	2			12.3	
and	therapy	9	2	2	3./1	2	6.06	13	8	
prebiotic is	Both "a" and	3	8.11	0	0.00	0				
termed as	"b")	0.11	U	0.00	U	0.00	3	2.86	
	Do not know	1	2.70	3	8.57	2	6.06	6	5.71	

Table 2: Assessment of knowledge towards Probiotics Among first, second, and third Dental Post Graduate Students.

A substantial number of respondents recognized dairy products as the most common source of probiotics, with 96.97% of third-year post-graduates, 85.71% of second-year post-graduates, and 78.38% of first-year post-graduates indicating this (p = 0.020). Additionally, a significantly higher percentage of respondents reported improvements in both plaque index and probing depth in smokers following probiotic treatment with *S. salivarius*, with figures of 69.70% for third-year, 62.86% for second-year, and 45.95% for first-year students (p = 0.02).

Furthermore, 51.52% of third-year post-graduates and 51.43% of second-year post-graduates identified *S. salivarius* as the earliest strain of probiotics aimed at addressing oral malodor (p = 0.012). In terms of microbiome therapy, which combines prebiotics and probiotics, 79.05% of respondents accurately recognized this concept, while 5.71% reported being unaware of it (p = 0.023).

ISSN:1624-1940

CAHIERS MAGELLANES-NS

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

Regarding practical application, 93.94% of third-year, 77.14% of second-year, and 83.78% of first-year post-graduates stated that they had utilized probiotics in their practice (p = 0.031). Among these respondents, 54.55% of third-year students consumed probiotics daily, while 68.57% of second-year students and 35.14% of first-year students reported occasional use (p = 0.002).

In terms of their experiences with probiotics, 42.86% of third-year and 48.60% of first-year post-graduates reported an excellent experience, while 88.57% of second-year students described their experience as satisfactory (p = 0.001). Additionally, a significant majority (87.62%) stated that they had not encountered any adverse drug reactions (p = 0.006).

Lastly, 62.86% of respondents indicated that they would recommend using probiotics to improve overall health (p = 0.002).

		Firs	N	Secon	N	Thir	N	Tota	N	P
		t	37	d year	35	d	39	1	105	value
		yea				year				
		r								
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Have you ever tried	Yes	31	83.7	27	77.1 4	31	93.94	89	84.76	0.031
probiotic	No	2	5.41	2	5.71	0	0.00	4	3.81	sig
	Occasionally	1	2.70	6	17.1 4	0	0.00	7	6.67	
	Only when your doctor prescribed	3	8.11	0	0.00	2	6.06	5	4.76	
If YES, what was the	Once a day	12	32.4	4	11.4	18	54.55	34	32.38	0.002
frequency of consumption	Weekly	10	27.0 3	5	14.2 9	5	15.15	20	19.05	sig
	Occasionally	13	35.1 4	24	68.5 7	10	30.30	47	44.76	
When did you use	Gastrointestinal infection	30	81.0 8	30	85.7 1	33	100.0	93	88.57	0.100 NS
probiotic as a therapeutic agent?	As an anti- inflammatory	5	13.5 1	4	11.4	0	0.00	9	8.57	
	As an immunomodulat or	2	5.41	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	1.90	
	Oral health	0	0.00	1	2.86	0	0.00	1	0.95	
How was	Excellent	18	48.6	4	11.4	23	69.70	45	42.86	0.00*

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

your			5		3					sig
experience	Satisfactory	18	48.6	31	88.5	6				
after using		10	5	31	7	0	18.18	55	52.38	
probiotic as a	Bad									
therapeutic		1	2.70	0	0.00	4				
agent							12.12	5	4.76	
Did you ever	Yes	3	8.11	0	0.00	8	24.24	11	10.48	0.00*
encountered	No	34	91.8	33	94.2	25				sig
any adverse		34	9	33	9	23	75.76	92	87.62	
drug reaction	Sometimes									
after using		0	0.00	2	5.71	0				
probiotic							0.00	2	1.90	
Will you	Yes	17	45.9	4	11.4	11				0.00*
advice to use		1 /	5	7	3	11	33.33	32	30.48	sig
probiotic to	No	5	13.5	1	2.86	1				
improve		3	1	1	2.80	1	3.03	7	6.67	
overall	May be	15	40.5	30	85.7	21				
health?		13	4	30	1	<u> </u>	63.64	66	62.86	

Table 3: Assessment of practice towards Probiotics Among first, second and third year Dental Post-Graduate Students.

DISCUSSION

In our study a total of 105 dental post graduates responded to the questionnaire in whom 67 were females and 38 were males. The mean age of respondents was 27.98±2.784 years (range, 25-41 years). In the present study, 101 respondents (96.19%) were aware of the term probiotic and 4 respondents (3.81%) who were not aware of the term probiotics were excluded from the study. So moving ahead, the study comprised 101 respondents who were aware of probiotics. The findings of our study showed that majority of respondents were aware of probiotics and lion's share of respondents (56.19%) procure their information from the health care personals and 36.19% of respondents from different health related articles which enlightened the crucial role of doctors, health care personal and health magazines & articles in flourishing the information regarding probiotics.

The principle constituent of probiotics are Live micro-organisms, among which lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria are the most commonly used micro-organism. Lactobacillus is often used as probiotic products for example Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. rhamnosus. Bifidobacterium contains various Grampositive non-motile anaerobic bacteria such as Bifidobacterium infantis, B. adolescentis. 51.43% of respondent in our study correctly submitted their response while 35.34% of respondents believed that probiotics constitute both live micro-organism and selectively fermented ingredients in dairy products. In a study conducted by Al Hossana A.A et al (2024)⁵, 56% of students answered correctly that probiotics are

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 ISSN:1624-1940 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.26325

DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

live microorganism which is in accordance with our result. Fizan S et al $(2019)^6$ conducted a cross-sectional study where 82.2% of the respondents correctly answered that probiotics are live micro-organism which is very high in contrast to our study.

86.67% of dental post graduates in our study given correct answer that dairy products are the common source of probiotics. Rajput S et al (2016)⁷ reviewed literature and summarized that milk and milk products are the most popular carriers of probiotics. Parichat P et al (2023)⁸ reviewed paper and concluded that the sources of probiotics can be isolated chiefly from three main sources: (1) human and animals, (2) food and (3) the environment. Human gastrointestinal tract is an important source of probiotics in the region of intestine and stomach. Probiotics can be found in raw foods (milk, dairy products, meat). In the environment, probiotics can be found in water (Pseudoalteromonas mariniglutinosa, Bacillus safensis) and soil (Bacillus spp., Enterobacter sp).

Indira M et al (2019)⁹ reviewed paper where he mentioned that the gut bacteria play a pivotal role in metabolic activities of the system gets disturbed under the influence of external stimuli like stress, antibiotics etc leading to a diseased state. This diseased state can be eliminated by the use of probiotic strain which produce therapeutic molecules such as amino acids, vitamins, bacteriocins, enzymes, immunomodulatory compounds and short-chain fatty acids. The beneficial bacteria present in the gut environment produce bacteriocins which is bactericidal in nature and confined in the cytoplasmic membrane region of receptor binding on bacterial surface. Parichat P et al (2023)⁸ stated that for probiotic lactic acid bacteria, Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium genera are the most prevalent probiotic. 63.18% of respondents in our study submitted correct response as bacteriocins, lactic acid and lactobacillus are produced by probiotics.

In our study 59.05% of respondents responded that both plaque index and probing depth improved in smoker's after being treated with probiotic consisting S. Salivarius. Rad A.H (2023)¹⁰ in his study stated that Probiotics prevent plaque formation by reducing the pH of saliva and producing antioxidants that use free electrons used in plaque mineralization which prevent carcinogenic bacteria to form plaque. Hardan L et al (2022)¹¹ in his systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trial stated that by using probiotic as an adjuvant therapy the periodontal pocket depth cab be improved due to decrease bacterial translocation through the pocket recuperation stage. It also helps in maintaining protein expression which prevent mucous membrane apoptosis and thus protect the gingival epithelial barrier. Patait MR et al (2022)¹ mentioned that 56.9% respondent in his study responded that both plaque index and probing depth improved in smoker's treated with probiotic consisting L. Salivarius which is in accordance with our study. The foul and disagreeable odour that comes from the mouth due to volatile sulphur compounds (VSC) is known as halitosis or oral malodor. P. gingivalis, P. intermedia, T. denticola, and Fusobacterium nucleatum are the bacteria responsible for the formation of VSC. 16 Allaker RP et al (2017)12 stated that one of the earliest probiotic strains that target oral malodour was the bacteriocin producing strain S. salivarius K12. In our study 41.9% of respondents believed that S. Salivarius K12 is the earliest strain of probiotic which target oral malodor.

Patait MR et al (2022)¹ stated that 39.2% of respondents submitted their answer that microbiome therapy is the combination of probiotic and prebiotic therapy. In our study 79.05% of respondents submitted the correct answer which is very high.

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 ISSN:1624-1940 DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

SOME COMMON PROBIOTICS USED IN INDIA:

The first FDA-approved probiotic-supplemented infant formula, "Good Start Supreme" with Natural Cultures, was developed by Nestlé and contains BIFIDUS BL (*B. lactis* BB12). Gum Perio Balance, produced by Sunstar (Etoy, Switzerland), is the first probiotic specifically formulated to combat periodontal diseases, featuring a combination of two strains of *L. reuteri*. Each lozenge contains 2 x 10⁸ living cells of *L. reuteri* and is recommended for daily consumption either after meals or following tooth brushing.

Bifidumbacterin, Acilact, and Vitanar (Alfram Ltd., Moscow, Russia) consist of a combination of five live lyophilized lactic acid bacteria that aid in both clinical and microbiological improvements in gingivitis and periodontitis. It is advised to take two tablets after mechanical debridement, allowing them to dissolve in the oral cavity three times daily for a duration of 20 to 30 days.

Yakult is one of the most widely available probiotics, produced by fermenting a blend of skimmed milk with the strain *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota. In India, ViBact represents a newer probiotic option, consisting of genetically modified *Bacillus mesentricus* and serving as an alternative to B-complex capsules. The recommended dosage for probiotics is once daily, ideally containing 10^8 probiotic bacteria per gram or milliliter, with a total daily intake of 1.5-2 dl/l.

Probiotic therapy offers a natural, non-invasive method for inhibiting the growth of pathogens; however, consumption should be closely monitored in patients with stomach disorders. Foods and dietary supplements cannot claim to function as biological drug products for the treatment, prevention, cure, mitigation, or diagnosis of specific human diseases, as therapeutic probiotics are regulated by the US FDA. According to Kashwani et al., integrating emerging technologies such as the metaverse, AI, and AR/VR into dental education can significantly enhance dental post-graduate students' understanding and application of probiotics. These technologies can facilitate immersive learning experiences, simulate clinical scenarios, and provide real-time interactive training, ultimately improving knowledge and practical skills while refining their attitudes toward the incorporation of probiotics in dental care.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS:

The present cross-sectional study was a hospital-based study conducted in a dental college in Patna. Since dental post graduates are still in the learning phase so their knowledge can't be equalize with the faculties as the knowledge of the faculties seems to be par with dental postgraduates. To capitalize on the potential benefits of probiotics for oral health, it is crucial to do survey including faculties for a better result. So future study should be conducted on a larger scale basis including dental postgraduates and faculties.

CONCLUSION:

Probiotics is surging as charismatic field in general and oral health. The people should be aware of the health benefit of probiotics It is paramount important that people should made aware of the positive effect of probiotics on oral health as it has shown very promising effect in oral health, so there should be a community-based program to make people understand its effects on oral health and also training to the oral health practitioners from WHO so that oral health care providers should genuinely prescribe it whenever required.

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 ISSN:1624-1940
DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599
http://magellanes.com/

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP:

Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

There are no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Patait MR, Saraf KV, Wakchaure PM. Assessment of knowledge and awareness of probiotics among the dental post-graduate students- A questionnaire study. *J Indian Acad Oral Med Radiol* 2022; 34:68-75.
- 2. REVITALIZING SENIOR SMILES: INVESTIGATING ORAL PROBIOTICS FOR ENHANCED HEALTH. (2023). *Journal of Population Therapeutics and Clinical Pharmacology*, *30*(19), 1198-1213. https://doi.org/10.53555/jptcp.v30i19.3760
- 3. Babina K, Salikhova D, Polyakova M, Zaytsev A, Egiazaryan A, Novozhilova N. Knowledge and Attitude towards Probiotics among Dental Students and Teachers: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Dent. J.* 2023, 11 (119); 1-13.
- 4. Rajeev G, Jacob A, Santhosh S, Roshini, Vijayan A.S, Puthukkodan R et al. A survey of the knowledge, attitude, and practice of using probiotics for oral health among dental students in Kerala. *Int J Community Med Public Health*. 2024 Apr;11(4):1538-1542.
- 5. Al hossan A.A, Syed W, Babelghaith S.D, Al Arifi M.N. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Probiotics Among Saudi Health Care Students—A Cross-Sectional Study from Saudi University in Riyadh Saudi Arabia. *J Health Care Organi Prov Financ*, 2023: 61: 1–10.
- 6. Fizan S, Frauwallner A, Varga L, Langerholc T, Rogelj I, Lorber M et al. Health Professionals' Knowledge of Probiotics: An International Survey. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2019, 16; 1-16.
- 7. Rajput S, Puranik M.P, Uma S.R. Probiotics A New Horizon in Prevention of Oral Disease. *Int J Health Sci Res*, 2016: 6(11); 239-245.
- 8. Parichat P, Pongsak R. Probiotics: Sources, selection and health benefits. *Res. J. Biotech.* 2023: 18(5); 102-113.
- 9. Indira M, Venkateswarulu T.C, Peele K.A, Bobby M.N, Krupanidhi S. Bioactive molecules of probiotic bacteria and their mechanism of action: a review. *Biotech* 2019: 9:306; 1-11.
- 10. Homayouni Rad A, Pourjafar H and Mirzakhani E. A comprehensive review of the application of probiotics and postbiotics in oral health. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2023: 13:1120995; 1-19.
- 11. Hardan L, Bourgi R, Cuevas-Suárez C.E, Flores-Rodríguez M, Omaña-Covarrubias A, Nicastro M et al. The Use of Probiotics as Adjuvant Therapy of Periodontal Treatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Trials. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 1017: 1-17.
- 12. Allaker R.P., Stephen A.S. Use of Probiotics and Oral Health. Curr Oral Health Rep (2017) 4:309–318.
- 13. Shreeja V, Prajapati J.B. Probiotic Formulations: Application and Status as Pharmaceuticals—A Review. *Probiotics Antimicro. Prot*, 2013. 4(4); 1-14.
- 14. Pandya D. Benefits of Probiotics in Oral cavity A Detailed Review. *Annals Int Med Dent Res*, 2016: 2(5); 10-17.
- 15. Kashwani Ritik et al. (2024). FUTURE OF DENTAL CARE: INTEGRATING AI, METAVERSE, AR/VR, TELEDENTISTRY, CAD & 3D PRINTING, BLOCKCHAIN AND CRISPR INNOVATIONS.

Volume 06 Issue 2 2024 ISSN:1624-1940

DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.2632599 http://magellanes.com/

Community practitioner: the journal of the Community Practitioners' & Health Visitors' Association. 21. 123-137. 10.5281/zenodo.11485287.

16. Kashwani R, Sawhney H, Dentistry and metaverse: A deep dive into potential of blockchain, NFTs, and crypto in healthcare. *Int Dent J Stud Res* 2023;11(3):94-98